## Draft version. Downloaded from lukesy.net

5.1 a) 
$$X_{k} = \frac{1}{2}X_{k-1} + W_{k}$$
  
 $y_{k} = \frac{1}{2}X_{k} + V_{k}$   
Lither  
b)  $X_{k}^{\dagger} = (I - k_{k}H_{k})(f_{k-1}X_{k-1}^{\dagger} + G_{k-1}U_{k-1}) + k_{k}Y_{k}$   
 $K_{k} = P_{k}^{\dagger}H_{k}R_{k}^{\dagger} = \frac{P_{k}^{\dagger}}{R}$   
 $X_{k}^{\dagger} = (I - \frac{P_{k}^{\dagger}}{R})(\frac{1}{2}X_{k-1}^{\dagger}) + \frac{P_{k}^{\dagger}}{R}Y_{k}$ 

$$\frac{1}{R} \frac{R}{R} \frac{1}{R} \frac{1}$$

c) 
$$P_{k}^{+} = (I - K_{k}H_{k})(F_{kH}P_{k-1}^{+}F_{k+1}+Q_{k+1})$$

$$= \left(\frac{R}{\frac{1}{4}P_{k+1}^{+}+Q+R}\right)\left(\frac{1}{4}P_{k+1}^{+}+Q\right)$$

d) 
$$K_{K} = \frac{4P_{\infty}^{+} + Q}{4P_{\infty}^{+} + Q + R}$$

$$H = R$$

$$K_{K} = \frac{4P_{00}^{\dagger} + R}{4P_{00}^{\dagger} + 2R}$$

$$K_{K} = \frac{4P_{00}^{\dagger} + 2R}{4P_{00}^{\dagger} + 3R}$$

$$K_{K} = \frac{4P_{00}^{\dagger} + 2R}{4P_{00}^{\dagger} + 3R}$$

Assuming R>1, Kx@Q=2R> Kx@Q=R

Intuitive Explanation: we will trust our measurement y more if our measurement noise R is much less than our model noise Q.

$$C_{cont.}$$
)  $P_{co}^{+} = \frac{R(P_{co}^{+} + 40)}{P_{co}^{+} + 4(Q+R)} \Rightarrow P_{co}^{+^{2}} + \frac{(4Q+3R)P_{co}^{+} - 4QR = 0}{(4Q+3R)^{2} + 16QR}$ 

$$d_{cont.}$$
)  $\#O=R$ ,  $P_{\infty}^{\dagger}=0.5311$  or  $-3821$   $K_{K}=\frac{P_{\infty}^{\dagger}}{R}=0.533$   $\#O=2R$ ,  $P_{\infty}^{\dagger}=0.6847$  or  $-58423$   $K_{K}=0.6847$ 

c) The Joseph form has a O derivative (it will not charge with to KK) while the 3rd form changes.

5.3 
$$X_{K} = FX_{K-1} + GU_{K-1} + W_{K-1}$$

$$\hat{X}_{K} = F\hat{X}_{K-1} + GU_{K-1} + W_{K-1}$$

$$\hat{Y}_{FOVE} = E[\hat{X}_{T}^{\dagger}(\hat{X}_{T}^{\dagger})^{T}] = 0 \quad \text{Induction base case}$$

$$\hat{X}_{T}^{\dagger} = F\hat{X}_{O} + GU_{K-1} \qquad E[\hat{X}_{O}^{\dagger}(\hat{X}_{O}^{\dagger})^{T}] = 0$$

$$\text{since } \hat{X}_{O} \text{ is constant, so does } G \text{ and } U_{K-1},$$

$$\text{then } \hat{X}_{T}^{\dagger} \text{ is also constant}$$

$$\hat{X}_{T}^{\dagger} = F + \frac{1}{X_{O} + U_{K-1}} \quad \text{which is also zero mean}$$

$$F\hat{X}_{O}^{\dagger} + W_{K-1}$$

Includion case can be proven similarly.

[proof is a bit lacking]

Hence E[xt(xt)]=0

Draft version. Downloaded from lukesy, net 5. H a) 
$$x_p(kH) = x_p(k) - k_2 x_p(k) + k_1 x_g(k) + w_p(k)$$

$$x_g(kH) = -k_3 x_p(k) + x_g(k) + u(k) + w_g(k)$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_p \\ x_g \end{bmatrix} \quad x_{kH} = \begin{bmatrix} 1-k_2 & k_1 \\ -k_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad x_{kH} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u_{kH} + w_{kH}$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad x_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad x_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
where  $x_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad x_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

b) Since at initial time we have perfect ount, 
$$P_0 = 0$$
 $P_1 = 0 + Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 
 $P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 1.5 \end{bmatrix}$ 
 $K_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$ 
 $K_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0.1429 & 0.5714 \end{bmatrix}$ 
 $P_1^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$ 
 $P_2^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5714 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Xx= [1/2 | Xx++ 0] Vx+ + Wx+

C) 
$$\sqrt{g} = 1$$
 other some point the group of that the parameter will due out at well  $x_p = \frac{1}{2}x_p + x_q$ 
 $x_p = \frac{1}{2}x_p + x_q$ 
 $x_q = -\frac{1}{2}x_p + x_q + u$ 
 $x_p = \frac{1}{2}x_q + x_q + u$ 

5.5 
$$y_{k} = Z_{k} + Z_{k-1}$$
  
 $Q = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{k+1} \\ Z_{k} \end{bmatrix}$   $Z_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} Q \\ Q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_{k+1} \\ Z_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q \\ Q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_{k+1} \\ Q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q \\ Q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q \\$ 

Draft version. Downloaded from lukesy. net ZKH = FREKFK + OK  $P_{k} = E[(x-\hat{x}^{t})(x-\hat{x}^{t})]$  estimation error covariance PKH = FKPK FK + OK - FKPKHK(HKPKHKHK) Show Zx-Px ≥0 for all K 5x-PK = FKPKHK (HKPKHK+RK) HKPKFK Given that Pk and Rk are positive distinite, HKPKHK is also positive destinite, and so is Zx-Pk. i. Zx-Pk 20 Intuitive Explanation: The state covariance is always greater than the state estimate covariance. Masselle Where covariance is a measure of "assurance".  $X_{k} = \frac{1}{2} X_{k+1} + \omega_{k-1} \qquad \omega_{k} \sim N(0,0)$ 5.8 YK = XK + VK VK~N(O,R) AKH = [(FK+OKFK\*HKRKHK) OKFK\*] AK BKH] = [FK\*HKRKHK FK\*] BK]  $=\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + Q(2)(1)R(1) & 2Q \\ 2R^{\dagger} & 2 \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} A_{KH} \\ B_{KH} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + 2QR^{\dagger} & 2Q \\ 2R^{\dagger} & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{K} \\ B_{K} \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} A_{KH} \\ B_{K} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + 2QR^{\dagger} & 2Q \\ 2R^{\dagger} & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{K} \\ B_{K} \end{bmatrix}$ Pic = AKBK where [AK] = DK [Po]  $\Phi = V * D * V^{-1} \Rightarrow V = \begin{bmatrix} -0.9701 & -0.7809 \\ 0.2435 & -0.0247 \end{bmatrix}$   $D = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2.5 \end{bmatrix}$ PK = [-0.9701 -0.7809] [0.41 0] [-0.7854 0.987] [0.2425 -0.6247] [0.254] [0.3049 -1.2496] = [-0.9701 (0.4k) -0.7809 (2.5K)] [-0.7854 0.9877] 0.2425 (0.4) 1 -0.6247 (25) 1-0.3049 -1.2196  $= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.9534(0.4)^{k} + 0.9534(2.5^{k}) \\ 0.2381(0.4)^{k} + 0.7619(2.5)^{k} \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} A_{k} \end{bmatrix} = \overline{D}^{k} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.9534(0.4)^{k} + 0.9534(2.5)^{k} \\ 0.2381(0.4)^{k} + 0.7619(2.5)^{k} \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} 0.2381(0.4)^{k} + 0.7619(2.5)^{k} \end{bmatrix}$  $P_{K} = \frac{-0.9524(0.4)^{K} + 0.9524(2.5)^{K}}{0.2381(0.4)^{K} + 0.7619(2.5)^{K}}$  b)  $\lim_{K \to \infty} P_{K} = \frac{0.9524}{0.7619}$ 

5.9 a) XK41 = XIC YK = XK I VK where VK ~ (O,R) > F=H=1 Qx=0 PKH = FKPK FK + QK - FKPK HK (HKPKHK+RK) HKPKFK = PK - PK (PK+R) PK = PK (1- PK) PRH = PRR Po=1 (assuming Xo=0) Pr = PoR + obtained by trying P., Po, Po R+KPo and then figuring out the pattern PK= R+K lim Pk= R+K = O b) XKH = XK + WK WK~(0,Q) PKH = PK + Q - PK (PK+R) PK = Pr(Pr+R)+O(P(+R)-Pr bPKH = PKR + Q(PK + R)

PKH PKH of a) be a PKH

bPKH - APKH = Q + let us call this \( D PKH)

PKH - APKH = Q + let us call this \( D PKH) IM APK = Q x= x+ x + Kx (yx-Hxxx) KK = PKHK (HKPKHK+RK) = PK D.+R note that PK = R+K  $= \frac{R}{R+K}$   $= \frac{1}{R+K+1}$   $= \frac{1}{R+K+1}$ XKH = XIC + KKH ( XX+ NK - XX) = R+K xx + Xx + x+K+1 + R+K+1 FRI = XKH - XKH = XKM + MKM - XKH = R+K R+K+1 Ex + WK - VK R+K+1  $E[G_{kH}] = \frac{R+K}{R+K+1}E[G_k^2] + Q - \frac{R}{(R+K+1)^2}$ = 1.25 page 3 of 4  $[G_k^2] = \infty$  because of  $[O]^n$ .

## Draft version. Downloaded from lukesy net

5.11 
$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{k} \\ f_{k} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_{kH} \\ f_{kH} \end{bmatrix} + W_{kH}$$

$$W_{kH} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y_{k} = P_{k} + V_{k} \\ V_{k} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0, 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

5.12 skip